

02--271-281--PPH-1612061-MS_- _Final_version.pdf *by*

Submission date: 22-Jan-2022 02:59PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1745892644

File name: 02--271-281--PPH-1612061-MS_-Final_version.pdf (246.52K)

Word count: 1779

Character count: 8184



STABILITY AND SIMULATION OF MEASLES TRANSMISSION MODEL WITH AND WITHOUT VACCINATION

Budi Priyo Prawoto

9
Universitas Negeri Surabaya
Indonesia

e-mail: budiprawoto@unesa.ac.id

Abstract

To figure out the dynamics of the mathematical model of measles transmission with and without vaccination as a preventive measure is the purpose of this paper. The studies carried out include the stability analysis of the model, and using a computational program, the simulation is performed to synchronize the analytical results. The comparison between the stability of measles transmission model with vaccination and without vaccination is obtained in this paper. Two stationary points are obtained in each population. In terms of population with vaccination,

$$T_1 = (1, 0) \text{ and } T_2 = \left(\frac{\mu + \alpha}{\beta}, -\frac{\mu(-\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{\beta(\mu + \alpha)} \right)$$

are the stationary points. On the other hand, in population without vaccination,

$$T_3 = (1 - \varepsilon, 0) \text{ and } T_4 = \left(\frac{\mu + \alpha}{\beta}, -\frac{\mu(-(1 - \varepsilon)\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{\beta(\mu + \alpha)} \right)$$

are the stationary points. We found T_1 stable for $\beta < \mu + \alpha$, and T_2

11
3 received: December 22, 2016; Revised: March 7, 2017; Accepted: April 5, 2017
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 92D30, 93D99.

Keywords and phrases: equilibria, measles transmission, stability, vaccination.

stable for $\beta > \mu + \alpha$. In the second population, the model would be stable around T_3 and T_4 when $\beta < \frac{\mu + \alpha}{1 - \varepsilon}$ and $\beta > \frac{\mu + \alpha}{1 - \varepsilon}$, respectively.

Background

7 It is well known that mathematics and biology are well interrelated. The biological interpretation can be quite helpful in guessing identities or estimates and even in suggesting quick and elegant proofs (Diekmann et al. [7]). Mathematical model became a tool.

One of real life problems is disease transmission, which be death affected or not. Examples of non-fatal diseases are measles, influenza, and other such. Duncan et al. [3] conducted research about scarlet fever death in Liverpool and they found that the system was oscillatory with clear epidemics on a basic endemic level. They used SEIR model.

This paper discusses about the transmission of measles disease using SIR model. Two populations are considered: population with vaccination as a preventive measure and population without vaccination, with assumption that both of populations are constant and there is no migration from or into the population.


SIR Model

The transmission of measles disease in a population and in a period time can be modelled by the SIR model. Suppose in a certain time t , the population consists of:

- $S(t)$, *susceptible*: a subpopulation of those members who are susceptible to the disease,
- $I(t)$, *infective*: a subpopulation of those members who have contacted the disease,
- $R(t)$, *recovered*: people who have been cured of the disease,

with the proportion of $S + I + R = 1$.


In terms of measles transmission without vaccination, the compartment we put together is as follows:



The mathematical model of the diagram is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS}{dt} &= \mu - \mu S - \beta SI, \\ \frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta SI - \mu I - \alpha I, \\ \frac{dR}{dt} &= \alpha I - \mu R. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The following diagram is the compartment of measles transmission in population with preventive vaccine:



The mathematical model of the diagram is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS}{dt} &= (1 - \varepsilon)\mu - \mu S - \beta SI, \\ \frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta SI - \mu I - \alpha I, \\ \frac{dR}{dt} &= \varepsilon\mu + \alpha I - \mu R, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

(Yıldırım and Cherruault [11]), where

S is the number of vulnerable population of the measles,

I is the number of the infected population,

R is a population recovering from measles,

μ is natality (positive number),

β is the rate of disease transmission (positive number),

α is the rate of recovery (positive number),

ε is the rate of vaccination between S and R (positive number).

10

Equilibrium Points

To obtain the equilibrium points of a system, the right-hand side of the system must be equal to zero (Henner et al. [6]).

From system (1), we obtain two equilibria (S, I), which are $T_1 = (1, 0)$

$$\text{and } T_2 = \left(\frac{\mu + \alpha}{\beta}, -\frac{\mu(-\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{\beta(\mu + \alpha)} \right).$$

From system (2), we also obtain two equilibria (S, I), which are $T_3 =$

$$(1 - \varepsilon, 0) \text{ and } T_4 = \left(\frac{\mu + \alpha}{\beta}, -\frac{\mu(-(1 - \varepsilon)\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{\beta(\mu + \alpha)} \right).$$

Stability Analysis

To do the stability analysis of system (1), a linearization is needed. For instance,

$$f_1(S, I, R) = \mu - \mu S - \beta SI,$$

$$g_1(S, I, R) = \beta SI - \mu I - \alpha I.$$

Then, the Jacobian matrix is

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu - \beta I & -\beta S \\ \beta I & \beta S - \mu - \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 1. System (1) is stable at the point $T_1 = (1, 0)$ for $\beta < \mu + \alpha$.

Proof. For the point $T_1 = (1, 0)$, the Jacobian matrix is given as follows:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & -\beta \\ 0 & \beta - \mu - \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = -\mu$ or $\lambda_2 = \beta - \mu - \alpha$.

To make the system stable, we should have $\beta < \mu + \alpha$.

Taking $\mu = 0.02$, $\alpha = 0.1$, and $\beta = 0.08$, we obtain the equilibrium point $T_1 = (1, 0)$. The behavior of system (1) is shown in Figures 1 and 3.




Figure 1

Theorem 2. System (1) is stable at the point

$$T_2 = \left(\frac{\mu + \alpha}{\beta}, -\frac{\mu(-\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{\beta(\mu + \alpha)} \right) \text{ for } \beta > \mu + \alpha.$$

Proof. For the point $T_2 = \left(\frac{\mu + \alpha}{\beta}, -\frac{\mu(-\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{\beta(\mu + \alpha)} \right)$, the Jacobian matrix is

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu + \frac{\mu(-\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{(\mu + \alpha)} & -(\mu + \alpha) \\ -\frac{\mu(-\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{(\mu + \alpha)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(Anton and Rorres [1]).

We obtain two eigenvalues,

$$\lambda_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\mu\beta}{\mu + \alpha} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mu\beta}{\mu + \alpha}\right)^2 - 4\mu(\beta - \alpha - \mu)} \right),$$

that is

$$(i) \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\mu\beta}{\mu + \alpha} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mu\beta}{\mu + \alpha}\right)^2 - 4\mu(\beta - \alpha - \mu)} \right) \text{ which is negative}$$

or the real part is negative for $4\mu(\beta - \alpha - \mu) > 0 \leftrightarrow \beta > \mu + \alpha$.

$$(ii) \lambda_4 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{\mu\beta}{\mu + \alpha} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\mu\beta}{\mu + \alpha}\right)^2 - 4\mu(\beta - \alpha - \mu)} \right) \text{ which is negative}$$

or the real part is negative for all positive parameters.




Figure 2

Taking $\mu = 0.02$, $\alpha = 0.1$, and $\beta = 0.3$, we obtain the equilibrium point $T_2 = (0.4, 0.1)$. The behavior of system (1) is shown in Figures 2 and 4.




Figure 3




Figure 4

To do the stability analysis of system (2), a linearization is needed. For instance,

$$f_2(S, I, R) = (1 - \varepsilon)\mu - \mu S - \beta SI,$$

$$g_2(S, I, R) = \beta SI - \mu I - \alpha I.$$

Then, the Jacobian matrix is

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu - \beta I & -\beta S \\ \beta I & \beta S - \mu - \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3. System (2) is stable at the point $T_3 = (1 - \varepsilon, 0)$ for $\beta < \frac{\mu + \alpha}{1 - \varepsilon}$.

Proof. For the point $T_3 = (1 - \varepsilon, 0)$, the Jacobian matrix is given as follows:

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu & -\beta(1 - \varepsilon) \\ 0 & \beta(1 - \varepsilon) - \mu - \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain $\lambda_5 = -\mu$ or $\lambda_6 = \beta(1 - \varepsilon) - \mu - \alpha$.

To make the system stable, we should have $\beta < \frac{\mu + \alpha}{1 - \varepsilon}$.

Taking $\mu = 0.02$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $\varepsilon = 0.3$ and $\beta = 0.08$, we obtain the equilibrium point $T_3 = (0.7, 0)$. The behavior of system (2) is shown in Figures 5 and 7.




Figure 5

Theorem 4. System (2) is stable at the point

$$T_4 = \left(\frac{\mu + \alpha}{\beta}, -\frac{\mu(- (1 - \varepsilon)\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{\beta(\mu + \alpha)} \right) \text{ for } \beta > \frac{\mu + \alpha}{1 - \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. For the point $T_4 = \left(\frac{\mu + \alpha}{\beta}, -\frac{\mu(- (1 - \varepsilon)\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{\beta(\mu + \alpha)} \right)$, the Jacobian matrix is

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu + \frac{\mu(- (1 - \varepsilon)\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{(\mu + \alpha)} & -(\mu + \alpha) \\ -\frac{\mu(- (1 - \varepsilon)\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{(\mu + \alpha)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\lambda_{7,8} = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)\mu\beta}{\mu + \alpha} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{(1 - \varepsilon)\mu\beta}{\mu + \alpha} \right)^2 - 4\mu((1 - \varepsilon)\beta - \alpha - \mu)} \right),$$

that is

$$(i) \lambda_7 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\mu\beta}{\mu+\alpha} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\mu\beta}{\mu+\alpha}\right)^2 - 4\mu((1-\varepsilon)\beta - \alpha - \mu)} \right),$$

which is negative or the real part is negative for $4\mu((1-\varepsilon)\beta - \alpha - \mu) > 0 \leftrightarrow \beta > \frac{\mu + \alpha}{1 - \varepsilon}$,

$$(ii) \lambda_8 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\mu\beta}{\mu+\alpha} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{(1-\varepsilon)\mu\beta}{\mu+\alpha}\right)^2 - 4\mu((1-\varepsilon)\beta - \alpha - \mu)} \right),$$

which is negative or the real part is negative for all positive parameters.

Taking $\mu = 0.02$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $\varepsilon = 0.3$, and $\beta = 0.3$, we obtain the equilibrium point $T_4 = (0.4, 0.05)$. The behavior of system (2) is shown in Figures 6 and 8.




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8

Conclusion

Two equilibria required in the population without vaccination are $T_1 = (1, 0)$ and $T_2 = \left(\frac{\mu + \alpha}{\beta}, -\frac{\mu(-\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{\beta(\mu + \alpha)} \right)$. In terms of population with preventive vaccine, two equilibria,

$$T_3 = (1 - \varepsilon, 0) \text{ and } T_4 = \left(\frac{\mu + \alpha}{\beta}, -\frac{\mu(-(1 - \varepsilon)\beta + \mu + \alpha)}{\beta(\mu + \alpha)} \right)$$

are obtained. Points T_1 and T_3 are called *disease-free equilibria* for each model. It is because the infected population I is 0. Points T_2 and T_4 are called *endemic equilibria* for each model because the infected population exists.

The stability of the disease-free equilibria T_1 and T_3 occurs if $\beta < \mu + \alpha$ and $\beta > \mu + \alpha$, respectively. The stability of T_2 and T_4 occurs when $\beta < \frac{\mu + \alpha}{1 - \varepsilon}$ and $\beta > \frac{\mu + \alpha}{1 - \varepsilon}$, respectively. The stability of the endemic equilibrium holds for all the positive parameter values.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] H. Anton and C. Rorres, *Aljabar Linear Elementer: Versi Aplikasi, Kedelapan ed.*, Erlangga, Jakarta, 2004.
- [2] Michael Brin and Garrett Stuck, *Introduction to Dynamical Systems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [3] S. R. Duncan, Susan Scott and C. J. Duncan, Modelling the dynamics of scarlet fever epidemics in the 19th century, *Euro. J. Epidemio.* 16(7) (2000), 619-626
- [4] N. Finizio and G. Ladas, *Persamaan Diferensial Biasa Dengan Penerapan Modern*, Kedua ed., Erlangga, Jakarta, 1988.
- [5] Marhendra Ali Kurniawan, Fitriana Yuli S and M. Si, *Analisis Kestabilan Penyebaran Penyakit Campak (Measles) dengan Vaksinasi Menggunakan Model Endemi SIR*, Jurdik Matematika FMIPA UNY, Yogyakarta, 2012.
- [6] Victor Henner, Tatyana Belozeroва and Mikhail Khenner, *Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2013.
- [7] O. Diekmann, R. Durrett, K.-P. Hadeler, P. Maini and H. L. Smith, *Mathematics Inspired by Biology*, V. Capasso and O. Diekmann, eds., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [8] G. Olsder and J. Woude, *Mathematical System Theory*, Delft University Press, Delft, 1997.
- [9] P. Tu, *Dynamical Systems: An Introduction with Application in Economics and Biology*, 2nd ed, Springer-Verlag, Germany, 1994.
- [10] Y. Villacampa, F. Verdú and A. Pérez, A stability theory for model systems, *Kybernetes* 36(5-6) (2007), 683-696.
- [11] A. Yıldırım and Yves Cherruault, Analytical approximate solution of a SIR epidemic model with constant vaccination strategy by homotopy perturbation method, *Kybernetes* 38(9) (2009), 1566-1575.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

12%

INTERNET SOURCES

8%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp Internet Source	2%
2	Submitted to Universidad de Salamanca Student Paper	2%
3	repository.president.ac.id Internet Source	2%
4	Baruch Awerbuch. "Stateless Near Optimal Flow Control with Poly-logarithmic Convergence", Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2008 Publication	2%
5	www.iam.ubc.ca Internet Source	2%
6	123dok.com Internet Source	1%
7	Odo Diekmann. "Modeling and analysing physiologically structured populations", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1999 Publication	1%

8	repo.uum.edu.my Internet Source	1 %
9	R N Shoimah, A Lukito, T Y E Siswono. "The Creativity of Reflective and Impulsive Selected Students in Solving Geometric Problems", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018 Publication	1 %
10	www.paspk.org Internet Source	1 %
11	bmjopen.bmj.com Internet Source	1 %
12	lib.ysu.am Internet Source	1 %
13	blogs.sap.com Internet Source	1 %
14	Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2006. Publication	1 %
15	cyberleninka.org Internet Source	1 %
16	mafiadoc.com Internet Source	1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 7 words

Exclude bibliography On

