



Wiyli Yustanti <wiyliyustanti@unesa.ac.id>

[6th ICITISEE 2022] Your paper #1570864272 ('A Hybrid Evaluation Index Approach in Optimizing Single Tuition Fee Cluster Validity')

1 message

6th ICITISEE 2022 (icitisee@amikom.ac.id) <icitisee@amikom.ac.id>

Tue, Nov 29, 2022 at 3:31 PM

Reply-To: 6th ICITISEE 2022 <icitisee@amikom.ac.id>

To: Wiyli Yustanti <wiyliyustanti@unesa.ac.id>, Nur Iriawan <nur_i@statistika.its.ac.id>, Irhamah Irhamah <irhamah@statistika.its.ac.id>

Dear Mrs. Wiyli Yustanti:

Congratulations - your paper #1570864272 ('A Hybrid Evaluation Index Approach in Optimizing Single Tuition Fee Cluster Validity') for 6th ICITISEE 2022 has been **accepted** and will be presented in the session titled ____.

The reviews are below or can be found at [1570864272](#).

Review 1

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper. (e.g. completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Good (4)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Good (4)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references

Good (4)

Recommendation: How do you rate your recommendation?

Accept. (3)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

- Good contribution
- Check the literature review in the introduction, don't mention the name of the researcher your reference, mention the essence of your reference
- translate table language and others
- how do you call 0.6420 is highest HE-Index? Any range standard?
- clarify the testing result in the conclusion

Review 2

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Good (4)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper. (e.g. completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Average (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Average (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references

Good (4)

Recommendation: How do you rate your recommendation?

Possible Accept. (2)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

References still need to be added. The results do not only specifically describe the choice of method used. need further explanation by adding more relevant references. Between abstraction and conclusion, there is no correlation.

Review 3

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Average (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper. (e.g. completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Average (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Average (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references

Good (4)

Recommendation: How do you rate your recommendation?

Possible Accept. (2)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

1. It is not enough Novelty and contribution by Author.

2. The problem statement is not clearly defined in the paper. What are the limitation of the existing methods in the same topics? The authors should mention their contribution and novelty compared to the previous work in the introduction section in a paragraph (or more).
3. Please describe and discuss more on your proposed method (materials and methods) (eg. The algorithm/ method was used, etc).
4. Results were not explained properly. Please write the Findings of the Study and immediately after the findings, please write the implications of the findings.
5. Finally, the authors should compare their proposed method with existing ones with public datasets and explain its advantages and disadvantageous.

Regards,

Our Ref.: ICITISEE/LoA/XII/2022/061
Date: November, 29th 2022

LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE

2022 6th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY,
INFORMATION SYSTEM AND ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING (ICITISEE)

Author(s) : Wiyli Yustanti, Nur Iriawan and Irhamah Irhamah
Paper Title : #1570864272 - A Hybrid Evaluation Index Approach in Optimizing Single Tuition
Fee Cluster Validity

Dear Author(s),

We are pleased to inform you that your paper entitled above has been accepted to be presented in the 2022 6th International Conference on Information Technology, Information Systems and Electrical Engineering (ICITISEE) which organized by Universitas Amikom Yogyakarta with our partner DTETI Universitas Gadjah Mada, Universitas AMIKOM Purwokerto, and IEEE. The 2022 6th ICITISEE will be conducted as a hybrid conference: Online (Virtual) and Onsite (Grand Inna Malioboro, Yogyakarta-Indonesia) from 13th – 14th December 2022. **The accepted paper has been strictly undergone the peer-reviewed process and will be submitted for uploading to the IEEEExplore Digital Library and it will be normally indexed in SCOPUS database if you attending and presenting your paper at the 2022 6th International Conference on Information Technology, Information Systems and Electrical Engineering (ICITISEE) on 13th-14th December 2022** such as:

- 1) Proceedings IEEE Xplore Library (XPLORE COMPLIANT) ISBN: 979-8-3503-9961-5
- 2) Proceedings IEEE Xplore Library (USB) ISBN: 979-8-3503-9960-8

Please understand perfectly that the publication of papers in the IEEEExplore Digital Library and it will be normally indexed in SCOPUS database will take AT LEAST **1–4 months** from the conference date. By registering to this conference, authors and co-authors will be deemed to agree, understood, and accept all IEEE Official Conference Terms and Conditions at https://www.ieee.org/web/conferences/organizers/pubs/conference_publications.html

Kindly visit our website <http://www.icitisee.org> for more information about the conference. Congratulation on the acceptance of your paper and thank you for your interest in the 2022 6th International Conference on Information Technology, Information Systems and Electrical Engineering (ICITISEE). We look forward to seeing you at the conference soon.

Best Regards,



Prof. Dr. Kusriani, M.Kom.
General Chair of 2022 6th ICITISEE

SECRETARIAT

Universitas Amikom Yogyakarta
Jalan Ring Road Utara, Condong Catur,
Sleman, D.I. Yogyakarta, Indonesia 55283

+62 851-5672-7484 (Mr. Yoga)

icitisee@amikom.ac.id

<https://icitisee.org/>