

[IJAI 2020-2021] Paper 1570753335 has been registered

1 message

IJAI 2020-2021 (ijai.iaes@gmail.com) <ijai.iaes=gmail.com@edas.info>

Mon, Aug 9, 2021 at 5:24 PM

Reply-To: IJAI 2020-2021 <ijai.iaes@gmail.com> To: Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id>

Cc: ijai.iaes@gmail.com

Dear Mr. Widi Aribowo:

Thank you for registering your paper 1570753335 ('A Hunger Game Search Algorithm for Economic Load Dispatch') to IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI) a Scopus indexed journal. You still have to upload your manuscript at https://edas.info/uploadPaper.php?m=1570753335.

- Via web form upload:

You can see all your submissions and their status at

https://edas.info/index.php?c=27307

using your EDAS user id widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id.

Once you upload your manuscript, you will receive another email confirmation. Confirmations for ftp submissions may take up to one hour since the ftp directory is swept only periodically.

.Regards,

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Tole Sutikno

Managing Editor,

IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)

ISSN/e-ISSN 2089-4872/2252-8938 website: http://ijai.iaescore.com

email: ijai@iaesjournal.com, ijai.iaes@gmail.com

Submit paper: https://edas.info/N27307



[IJAI 2020-2021] Decision for paper 'A Hunger Game Search Algorithm for **Economic Load Dispatch'**

1 message

IJAI 2020-2021 (ijai.iaes@gmail.com) <ijai.iaes=gmail.com@edas.info>

Tue, Sep 7, 2021 at 8:08 PM

Reply-To: IJAI 2020-2021 <ijai.iaes@gmail.com> To: Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id>

- -- Please strictly use and adhere to the template Manuscripts: https://iaescore.com/gfa/ijai.docx to avoid delays
- -- Please upload the revised paper within 8 weeks.
- -- Similarity score of your final manuscript less than 25%
- -- Failing to do proper revision may lead to the rejection of your paper.

Dear Mr. Widi Aribowo,

After careful review, your paper #1570753335 "A Hunger Game Search Algorithm for Economic Load Dispatch" for the IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI) requires MAJOR REVISIONS before being accepted for publication. The IJ-AI, ISSN/e-ISSN 2089-4872/2252-8938 is indexed in Scopus/ScimagoJR; SJR of 0.341 (2020), Q2 under Electrical and Electronic Engineering, and Information Systems and Management categories; SNIP of 1.276 (2020) and CiteScore of 1.6 (2020). The scope covers all artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) areas and theirs applications.

The reviews are below or can be found at https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570753335, using your EDAS login name widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id. You are asked to submit a revised full manuscript, according to the comment from reviewers. For improving your paper, please read our published papers and cite them as appropriate. Search within https://www.scopus.com/results/results.uri?sort=plf-f&src=s&sid=e9a64dd58bad014f334ffaa9113add c2&sot=a&sdt=a&sl=104&s=SOURCE-ID+%2821100373959%29+OR+SOURCE-ID+%2821100373959% 29+OR+SOURCE-ID+%2821100826382%29+OR+SOURCE-ID+%2821100901206%29&origin= searchadvanced&editSaveSearch=&txGid=216633eacb8ada209ff2a6961d037770 (you must have an access to scopus.com) in the upper left side by typing your keywords to find related papers. For your information, according to international regulations, similarity score of camera-ready paper must be less than 25%. Single author is PROHIBITED. The Technical Program Committee (TPC)/Editors will check whether the revision already address the reviewers' comments. Enrich content relate to artificial intelligence aspects. Failing to do proper revision may lead to the rejection of your paper.

Please your revised paper through the "Revision" upload button on EDAS platform within 8 weeks. Note: If you have any responses, comments or questions, please send a message to email: ijai@jaesjournal.com.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Best Regards, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Tole Sutikno Managing Editor, IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI) ISSN/e-ISSN 2089-4872/2252-8938 website: http://ijai.iaescore.com

email: ijai@iaesjournal.com, ijai.iaes@gmail.com

Submit paper: https://edas.info/N27307

Review 1

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Average (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

In general, this manuscript proposed an application of HGS to the problem, which is new in this field. However, there are some points need to be considered:

- 1. why is HGS selected? why is the problem/wrong of the current algorithms/techniques that we need to introduce HGS?. in other words, what is the gap that this work wanted to address?
- 2. Figure 1: why only HGS vs STSA (other PSO, GPC, DE)? How do we know which one is better algorithm?
- 3. Why other popular algorithms (such as deep learning, etc.) is not been considered in this work?
- 4. Do you this improvement of 0.0061% as compare to PSO is an improvement? please justify

Review 2

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Average (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

Algebraic constraints used in the context of comparing metaheuristic algorithms is not understandable. The advantage is too small to affect any change. There are questions about the use of 3 different heuristics without understanding the implication of either.

EDITOR comments: We would like your cooperation with the double check of your revised paper (1) TEMPLATE, Please Strictly use and follow to the template Manuscripts (Word Format): https://iaescore.com/gfa/ijai.docx

- (2) Authors are suggested to present their articles with IMRaD sections structure (outline): 1. Introduction 2. The Proposed Method/Algorithm/Procedure specifically designed (optional) - 3. Research Method - 4. Results and Discussion – 5. Conclusion. Authors may present complex proofs of theorems or non-obvious proofs of correctness of algorithms after introduction section (obvious theorems & straightforward proofs of existing theorems are NOT needed).
- (3) Introduction section: explain the context of the study and state the precise objective. An Introduction is presented within 3-6 paragraphs, and it should contain the following three parts (within 3-7 paragraphs):
 - Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the stateof-the art of the field the report is about.

- The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.
- The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers. At least, 10 recently published articles are cited in this
- (4) Method section: the presentation of the simulation/experimental method should be clear and complete in every detail facilitating reproducibility by other scientists.
- (5) Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section report the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate and comparisons to other research results. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. This is where the author(s) should explain in words what they discovered in the research. It should be clearly laid out and in a logical sequence. This section should be supported suitable references.
- (6) (URGENT)!!! About Figures & Tables in your manuscript:
 - · Because tables and figures supplement the text, all tables and figures should be REFERENCED in the text. Authors MUST EXPLAIN what the reader should look for when using the table or figure. Focus only on the important point the reader should draw from them, and leave the details for the reader to examine on her own.
 - Tables are to be presented with single horizontal line under: the table caption, the column headings and at the end of the table. All tables are produced by creating tables in MS Word. Captured tables are NOT allowed.
 - · All figures MUST be prepared by yourself and presented in high quality images
- (7) Conclusion section: Summarize sentences the primary outcomes of the study in a paragraph. Are the claims in this section supported by the results, do they seem reasonable? Have the authors indicated how the results relate to expectations and to earlier research? Does the article support or contradict previous theories? Does the conclusion explain how the research has moved the body of scientific knowledge forward?
- (8) Most importantly, please ensure the similarity score is less than 25%. You can refer to EDAS to see the similarity score of your paper. Any paper with a similarity score of more than 25% will be dropped. Please make sure your revised paper follow this rule. If the similarity score of final version is more than 25%, the TPC has the right to cancel the paper to be published in this journal.
- (9) Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text in square brackets. For example, the first citation [1], the second citation [2], and the third and fourth citations [3,4]. When citing multiple sources at once, the preferred method is to list each number separately, in its own brackets, using a comma or dash between numbers, as such: [1], [3], [5] or [4-8]. It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the intext citation. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket, e.g. [9], that will then correspond to the full citation in your reference list.

Examples of in-text citations:

This theory was first put forward in 1970 [9].

Sutikno [10] has argued that...

Several recent studies [7], [9], [11-15] have suggested that....

...end of the line for my research [16].

(10) Please ensure the maximum page of your final paper is 8-page, but still allowed up to 14 pages (required to pay an extra fee).



[IJAI 2020-2022] #1570753335 ('A Hunger Game Search Algorithm for Economic Load Dispatch')

1 message

IJAI 2020-2022 (ijai.iaes@gmail.com) <ijai.iaes=gmail.com@edas.info>

Tue, Dec 28, 2021 at 3:27

Reply-To: IJAI 2020-2022 <ijai.iaes@gmail.com> To: Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id>

- -- URGENT: Please strictly adhere to the guide of authors (template) https://iaescore.com/gfa/ijai.docx to avoid delays
- -- Similarity score of your final manuscript MUST less than 25%
- -- Submit your final camera ready paper through EDAS in the same paper ID
- -- and submit payment receipt to: ijai@iaesjournal.com with state your paper

Dear Mr. Widi Aribowo,

Congratulation!! Your paper #1570753335 ('A Hunger Game Search Algorithm for Economic Load Dispatch') has been ACCEPTED with minor revisions. Please make the necessary changes based on reviewers' comments and suggestions. The detail reviews are in the bottom of this email or can be found at 1570753335, using your EDAS login name widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id. Please prepare your final camera ready paper (in MS Word file format) adheres every detail of the guide of authors (https://iaescore.com/gfa/ijai.docx), and check it for spelling/grammatical mistakes. Please make the necessary changes based on reviewer's feedback, comments and suggestions. You must make the similarity score of your final manuscript less than 25%.

The goal of this camera ready paper is to describe NOVEL TECHNICAL RESULTS:

For ORIGINAL RESEARCH paper, there are four (4) types of novel technical results: 1) An algorithm; 2) A system construct: such as hardware design, software system, protocol, etc.; The main goal of your revised paper is to ensure that the next person who designs a system like yours doesn't make the same mistakes and takes advantage of some of your best solutions. So make sure that the hard problems (and their solutions) are discussed and the non-obvious mistakes (and how to avoid them) are discussed; 3) A performance evaluation: obtained through analyses, simulation or measurements; or 4) A theory: consisting of a collection of theorems. Your final camera ready paper should focus on: 1) Describing the results in sufficient details to establish their validity; 2) Identifying the novel aspects of the results, i.e., what new knowledge is reported and what makes it non-obvious; and 3) Identifying the significance of the results: what improvements and impact do they suggest. Number of minimum references for original research paper is 25 references (and minimum 20 recently journal articles).

For REVIEW paper, the paper should present a critical, constructive analysis of the literature in a specific field through summary, classification, analysis and comparison. The function and goal of the review paper is: 1) to organize literature; 2) to evaluate literature; 3) to identify patterns and trends in the literature; 4) to synthesize literature; or 5) to identify research gaps and recommend new research areas. The structure includes:

- 1. Title in this case does not indicate that it is a review article.
- Abstract includes a description of subjects covered.
- 3. Introduction includes a description of context (paragraph 1-3), motivation for review (paragraph 4, sentence 1) and defines the focus (paragraph 4, sentences 2-3)
- 4. Body structured by headings and subheadings
- 5. Conclusion states the implications of the findings and an identifies possible new research fields
- 6. References ("Literature Review") organised by number in the order they were cited in the text. Number of minimum references for review paper is 50 references (and minimum 40 recently journal articles).

For your information, single author is NOT allowed. The Editor(s) will check whether the final version has been performed and already address the reviewers' comments or not. Failing to do proper revision may lead to the rejection of your paper.

Please submit your:

- 1. Final camera ready paper,
- 2. Similarity checking report (by iThenticate or Turnitin software), and
- 3. Payment evidenve
 - to: ijai@iaesjournal.com as soon as possible (within 6 weeks).

I look forward for your response

Sincerely yours,

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Tole Sutikno

Managing Editor,

IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)

ISSN/e-ISSN 2089-4872/2252-8938 website: http://ijai.iaescore.com

email: ijai@iaesjournal.com, ijai.iaes@gmail.com

Submit paper: https://edas.info/N27307

Below is the reviews on your papers:

Review 1

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Average (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

In general, this manuscript proposed an application of HGS to the problem, which is new in this field. However, there are some points need to be considered:

- 1. why is HGS selected? why is the problem/wrong of the current algorithms/techniques that we need to introduce HGS?. in other words, what is the gap that this work wanted to address?
- 2. Figure 1: why only HGS vs STSA (other PSO, GPC, DE)? How do we know which one is better algorithm?
- 3. Why other popular algorithms (such as deep learning, etc.) is not been considered in this work?
- 4. Do you this improvement of 0.0061% as compare to PSO is an improvement? please justify

Review 2

Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

Average (3)

Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

Valid work but limited contribution. (3)

Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)

Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)

Detailed comments: Please justify your recommendation and suggest improvements in technical content or presentation.

Algebraic constraints used in the context of comparing metaheuristic algorithms is not understandable. The advantage is too small to affect any change. There are questions about the use of 3 different heuristics without understanding the implication of either.

Please pay an attention to double check your final camera ready paper:

(1) TEMPLATE, Please Strictly use and follow to the template Manuscripts (Word Format): https://iaescore.com/gfa/ijai.docx

For ORIGINAL RESEARCH paper:

- (2) Authors are suggested to present their articles with IMRaD sections structure (outline): 1. Introduction 2. The Proposed Method/Algorithm/Procedure specifically designed (optional) - 3. Method - 4. Results and Discussion – 5. Conclusion. Authors may present complex proofs of theorems or non-obvious proofs of correctness of algorithms after introduction section (obvious theorems & straightforward proofs of existing theorems are NOT needed).
- (3) Introduction section: explain the context of the study and state the precise objective within 3-6 paragraphs. An Introduction should contain the following three (3) elements:
- Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the art of the field the report is about.
- The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.
- The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers.
- (4) Method section: the presentation of the experimental methods should be clear and complete in every detail facilitating reproducibility by other scientists.
- (5) Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section report the most important findings as appropriate and comparisons to other research results. This is where the author(s) should explain in words what they discovered in the research.
- (6) (URGENT)!!! About Figures & Tables in your manuscript:
- Because tables and figures supplement the text, all tables and figures should be REFERENCED in the text. Authors MUST EXPLAIN what the reader should look for when using the table or figure. Focus only on the important point the reader should draw from them, and leave the details for the reader to examine on her own.
- Tables are to be presented with single horizontal line under: the table caption, the column headings and at the end of the table. All tables are produced by creating tables in MS Word. Captured tables are NOT allowed.
- All figures MUST prepared by yourself in high quality images
- (7) Conclusion section: Summarize sentences the primary outcomes of the study in a paragraph. Are the claims in this section supported by the results, do they seem reasonable? Have the authors indicated how the results relate to expectations and to earlier research? Does the article support or contradict previous theories? Does the conclusion explain how the research has moved the body of scientific knowledge forward?
- (8) Please ensure the maximum page of your final paper is 8-page, but still allowed up to 14 pages (required to pay an extra fee).

In order to cover part of the event cost, each accepted paper will be charged: USD 265 (~IDR 3700K) This article publication is to support the cost of wide open access dissemination of research results, to manage the various costs associated with handling and editing of the submitted manuscripts, and the Journal management and publication in general, the authors or the author's institution is requested to pay a publication fee for each article accepted. The USD 265 fee covers the standard eight (8) pages manuscript. For every additional page an extra fee of USD 50 (~IDR710K) per page will be charged.

The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):

Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: TOLE SUTIKNO

Bank Name: Bank Negara Indonesia (BNI)

Branch Office: UGM Yogya, KCP SARJANA WIYATA

City: Yogyakarta Country: Indonesia

Bank Account #: 0469076067

SWIFT Code: BNINIDJAXXX

or as an alternative you can pay it by using PayPal to email: tole@ee.uad.ac.id

Bank's detailed address:

BNI SARJANA WIYATA

Jl. Kusumanegara No.121, Muja Muju, Umbulharjo

City: Yogyakarta

Province: D.I. Yogyakarta (DIY)

Post Code: 55167 Country :Indonesia

The Beneficiary's address:

D2, Griya Ngoto Asri, Bangunharjo, Sewon

City: Bantul

Province: D.I. Yogyakarta Post Code: 55187 Country: Indonesia



Document for paper ID #1570753335

2 messages

Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id>

To: ijai@iaesjournal.com

Sun, Jan 2, 2022 at 2:32 PM

Dear editor..


here I attach the completeness file of the paper #1570753335

Kind regards.

3 attachments



A Hunger Game Search Algorithm For Economic Load Dispatch.pdf 2352K



IJAI Editor <ijai@iaesjournal.com>
To: Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id>

Wed, Jan 5, 2022 at 8:04 PM

Received, thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]



[IJ-AI] Please Revise Your Paper ID 21497

6 messages

IAES IJ-AI <ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 19, 2022 at 7:53 AM

To: widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id, supari@unesa.ac.id, bambangsuprianto@unesa.ac.id

Dear Sir/Madam

I am Eneng Nuraeni writing on behalf of the layout and editing team, under the auspices of the IAES IJ-AI team. We are glad to inform you that your paper is in the layout stage for possible publication in the forthcoming issue of this journal. Your cooperation for final checking and/or updating your paper is required.

Please find the attached file (including comments and/or marked parts) to take further action, and reply to the comments there.

Please send the revised paper with the reply to all emails previously sent. Kindly submit your updated paper within 2 days.

Your cooperation is highly appreciated.

"Please note that this email is only assigned for layout and editing purposes. For other communication purposes, reach us through the principal contact of the journal."

Kind Regards,
Eneng Nuraeni
Layout and Editing Team
Editorial Staff on behalf of Editor-in-Chief

IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)





Editor in Chief: ijai@iaesjournal.com Staff: ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com

Website:

https://ijai.iaescore.com/index.php/IJAI/index



21497 1570753335 EM 18% 2jan22 9aug21.docx 648K

li Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa ac i

Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id> To: IAES IJ-AI <ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com> Wed, Jan 19, 2022 at 1:52 PM

Dear Editor..

I have checked my article and fixed it.

Widi Aribowo Department Of Electrical Engineering Universitas Negeri Surabaya [Quoted text hidden]



21497 1570753335 EM 18% 2jan22 9aug21.docx 430K

Dear Sir/Madam,

Thank you for your email, we will check your paper and will inform you again if there is still something that needs to be fixed.

"Please note that this email is only assigned for layout and editing purposes. For other communication purposes, reach us through the principal contact of the journal."

Kind Regards, **Eneng Nuraeni** Layout and Editing Team Editorial Staff on behalf of Editor-in-Chief

IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)



Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science (IAES) ISSN/e-ISSN 2089-4872/2252-8938

Editor in Chief: ijai@iaesjournal.com Staff: ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com

Website:

https://ijai.iaescore.com/index.php/IJAI/index

[Quoted text hidden]

IAES IJ-AI <ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com> To: Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id> Mon, Jan 31, 2022 at 4:37 PM

Dear Dr. Widi Aribowo

We have checked your revised paper. There are points that need to be improved.

We have provided notes in the manuscript to be corrected.

Please do proofreading and complete the paper that we attach, do not use paper other than what we have attached. Please submit your final paper as soon as possible (2x24 hours).

The responsibility for the contents of the text rests with the author and not with the editor or publisher.

"Please note that this email is only assigned for layout and editing purposes. For other communication purposes, reach us through the principal contact of the journal."

Kind Regards, Muhammad Cahyo Wicaksono Layout and Editing Team Editorial Staff on behalf of Editor-in-Chief

IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)




Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science (IAES) ISSN/e-ISSN 2089-4872/2252-8938

Editor in Chief: ijai@iaesjournal.com Staff: ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com

Website:

https://ijai.iaescore.com/index.php/IJAI/index

[Quoted text hidden]



Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id> To: IAES IJ-AI <ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 31, 2022 at 8:38 PM

Dear Editor..

I have checked and revised according to the points in the article

Best Regard Widi Aribowo [Quoted text hidden]



21497 1570753335 EM 18% 19jan22 9aug21.docx 449K

IAES IJ-AI <ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com>

Thu, Feb 3, 2022 at 8:54 AM

To: Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id>

Dear Dr. Widi Aribowo

Thank you for your cooperation, we have received your paper.

"Please note that this email is only assigned for layout and editing purposes. For other communication purposes, reach us through the principal contact of the journal."

Kind Regards, Muhammad Cahyo Wicaksono Layout and Editing Team Editorial Staff on behalf of Editor-in-Chief

IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)



Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science (IAES) ISSN/e-ISSN 2089-4872/2252-8938

Editor in Chief: ijai@iaesjournal.com Staff: ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com

Website:

https://ijai.iaescore.com/index.php/IJAI/index

[Quoted text hidden]



#PROOFREAD ID 21497, IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI) Publish 2022

2 messages

IAES IJ-AI <ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com> To: Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id> Tue, Feb 15, 2022 at 4:17 PM

- -- Paper ID: 21497
- -- Title: A hunger game search algorithm for economic load dispatch

Dear Dr. Widi Aribowo

I am Muhammad Cahyo Wicaksono writing on behalf of the layout and editing team, under the auspices of the IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI) team. We are glad to inform you that your paper is in the final stage before publication in the forthcoming issue of this journal. Your cooperation in proofreading your paper is required. Please find the attached final camera-ready paper in PDF file format. If you would like to do any update, please mark and put your comments in the attached file below. Kindly send your confirmation within 2x24 hours.

Please note that this email is only assigned for layout and editing purposes. For other communication purposes, reach us through the principal contact of the journal.

Your cooperation is highly appreciated.

"Please note that this email is only assigned for layout and editing purposes. For other communication purposes, reach us through the principal contact of the journal."

Kind Regards, Muhmmad Cahyo Wicaksono Layout and Editing Team Editorial Staff on behalf of Editor-in-Chief

IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence (IJ-AI)

Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science (IAES) ISSN/e-ISSN 2089-4872/2252-8938



Editor in Chief: ijai@iaesjournal.com Staff: ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com

Website:

https://ijai.iaescore.com/index.php/IJAI/index



21497 1570753335 EM 18% 31jan22 9aug21 Faizah.pdf 685K

Widi Aribowo <widiaribowo@unesa.ac.id> To: IAES IJ-AI <ijai.layoutdesk@gmail.com> Wed, Feb 16, 2022 at 5:42 PM

Dear Editor Yes, I confirm.

Thank you very much best regards Widi Aribowo [Quoted text hidden]